Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,385	RUSSELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> .	(3)	·
(2) Rodney Carroll.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2006	Time: 3:20 p.m.	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: Claim 4.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The limitatations of claim 4 will be amended to conform to claim 1.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
		4.A
J. Am		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		